Trends, Developments, and Issues in PTAB Proceedings: Practical Tips and Strategies to Avoid Pitfalls

Buchanan Ingersoll & Rooney PC
Michael O'Shaughnessy
Shareholder

Thank you for logging into today's event. Please note we are in standby mode. All Microphones will be muted until the event starts. We will be back with speaker instructions @ 02:55pm. Any Questions? Please email: info@theknowledgengroup.org

Group Registration Policy
Please note ALL participants must be registered or they will not be able to access the event.
If you have more than one person from your company attending, you must fill out the group registration form.
We reserve the right to disconnect any unauthorized users from this event and to deny violators admission to future events.

To obtain a group registration please send a note to info@theknowledgengroup.org or call 646.202.9344.
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We need your insights – we are conducting a continuing education success survey to improve The Knowledge Group and better serve you.

Please give us ten minutes on the phone and we will provide you three months of FREE CE webcasts.

You may click this link to sign up and participate: http://knowgroup.org/2L3ak6Y

We look forward to hearing from you.
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- Attendees, your lines are on listen only and this event is being recorded for playback purposes.
- For those viewing online, you will not see the chat window. To view this, please click on the Launch Full Experience button on the bottom of the webcast portal. In the chat window you will see contact details for today's speaker and answers to our most frequently asked questions. If you have a question that is not answered, just send us an email to info@theknowledgegroup.org
- If you click on “Launch Full Experience” please note you may need to close or mute the original browser window/tab to prevent any echoing or duplication of the audio.
- You can submit a question to our speaker at any time using this chat window. Simply click on the Q&A tab which is next to the Chat tab. Although your question won’t appear in the window, it will be collected for the question and answer section towards the end of today’s event.
- If you wish to order the materials for this course, you can place an order using this link: https://goo.gl/jkHtPD
- If you paid the course materials and did not receive a copy of the PowerPoint presentation, please send a note to info@theknowledgegroup.org
- In the event of any technical problems, we request that you refresh the event page. If these issues persist, please give us a call on 646-844-0200 and we’ll be able to help you.
- During the webcast, you’ll be sent a survey via email asking you for feedback regarding your experience at today’s event. If you are applying for continuing education credit, survey completions are mandatory as state bars and boards require this as proof of attendance. Please stay tuned for three secret words given at random times throughout today’s presentation. You’ll need to enter these into the survey as proof of your attendance. Michael, I may have to break into your presentation to read these secret words. Pardon in advance for any interruption. If you have any questions, please contact us at info@theknowledgegroup.org.
- You can follow us on Twitter @Know_Group and like us on Facebook to see updates for all our events as well as news and other pertinent info. You can also subscribe to The Knowledge Group podcast on iTunes and Soundcloud for the latest on what we’ll be covering on upcoming events.
Buchanan is a national law firm with a strong reputation for providing progressive, industry-leading legal, business, regulatory and government relations advice to our regional, national and international clients. Our 450 attorneys and government relations professionals across 17 offices proudly represent some of the highest profile and innovative companies in the nation, including 50 of the Fortune 100. While we service a wide range of clients, Buchanan has especially deep experience in the energy, finance, healthcare and life sciences industries. We bring to our clients an intimate knowledge of the players, market forces and political and regulatory landscape and use our full-service offerings to protect, defend and advance our clients’ businesses.
Michael assists biotech and pharmaceutical companies with patent litigation, portfolio management, patent prosecution and patent licenses. He also helps clients with all aspects of patent monetization or acquisition, including due diligence, strategy development, negotiation, drafting and execution of agreements.

His clients are innovator companies whose products include technologies related to antibodies, vaccines, pharmaceuticals, chemicals, nutrition and medical devices. He is experienced in litigation under the Hatch-Waxman Act (ANDA) as well as the Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act (BPCIA).

Michael has extensive experience in inter partes proceedings before the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board and has represented clients in U.S. district courts, before the International Trade Commission and in appeals before the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit.
In any America Invents Act (AIA) trial proceeding, the Patent Trial & Appeal Board (PTAB) has been consistent in holding that assignor estoppel is subject to abrogation by the AIA statutes. Affirming this stand, the Federal Circuit held in *Arista Networks, Inc. v. Cisco Systems, Inc.* that assignor estoppel is not applicable in *inter partes review* (IPR) proceedings. However, other equitable defenses, such as judicial estoppel, can be considered and applied by PTAB so long as they do not contradict with AIA statutes.

Join PTAB trial expert, Mr. Michael O'Shaughnessy in a LIVE Webcast as he provides an in-depth discussion of the fundamentals as well as updates on how PTAB treats equitable defenses. Mr. O'Shaughnessy will also identify significant risk issues and challenges surrounding this topic and provide best practices in leveraging equitable defenses before the PTAB.

Key issues covered in this course are:

- America Invents Act
- Equitable Defenses?
- Assignor Estoppel at the PTAB
- Judicial Estoppel
- Patent Owner Estoppel
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America Invents Act

Goal:

- Streamline patent system
- Reduce Litigation
- Eliminate bad patents

Result:

- Multiple Proceedings
- Running in parallel
Equitable defenses?

- Assignor Estoppel
- Judicial Estoppel
- Patent Owner Estoppel
Assignor Estoppel

Shamrock Technologies, Inc. v. Medical Sterilization, Inc., 903 F.2d 789 (Fed. Cir. 1990)

- Luniewski, employed by Shamrock, invented apparatus and method for processing PTFE.
- Required to assign to employer.
- Left Shamrock and joins Medical Sterilization, where they began making PTFE by Luniewski’s method.
- Shamrock sued for infringement.
- Medical Sterilization counterclaimed that patents (invented by Luniewski) were invalid

“[T]he doctrine of assignor estoppel … precludes a patent assignor and those in privity with the assignor from contending that the patent is a nullity.”
Assignor Estoppel at the PTAB

- "We are not persuaded that assignor estoppel, an equitable doctrine, provides an exception to the statutory mandate that any person who is not the owner of a patent may file a petition for an inter partes review."

Oticon Medical AB v. Cochlear Bone Anchored Solutions AB, Case IPR2017-01018 (PTAB Aug. 21, 2018)
- "In a precedential opinion, binding on this panel [Athena], the Board rejected the applicability of the doctrine of assignor estoppel to inter partes review proceedings."

- Under the AIA [35 USC § 311(a), "a person who is not the owner of a patent may file with the Office a petition to institute an inter partes review of the patent."

We are cognizant of the specter of forum shopping, but we agree with the Board's prior statement that, "Congress has demonstrated that it will provide expressly for the application of equitable defenses when it so desires." Redline, Paper 40, slip op. at 4 (PTAB Oct. 1, 2013) (citing Intel Corp. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n, 946 F.2d 821, 836-38 (Fed. Cir. 1991)). Accordingly, we decline to apply assignor estoppel to this inter partes review proceeding.
Concerns about Assignor Estoppel

Forum Shopping
Judicial Estoppel

35 U.S.C 301(a)(2):

“statements of the patent owner filed in a proceeding before a Federal court or the Office in which the patent owner took a position on the scope of any claim of a particular patent” may be submitted to the office at any time. And, that this information be utilized to “determine the proper meaning of a patent claim in a proceeding that is ordered or instituted pursuant to section 304, 314, or 324.”
Judicial Estoppel

ARISTOCRAT TECHNOLOGIES, INC. v. IGT, Case IPR 2016-00252 (PTAB Feb. 24, 2016)

• The doctrine of judicial estoppel prohibits Aristocrat from engaging in this kind of double-speak. Judicial estoppel "prevents a party from prevailing in one phase of a case on an argument and then relying on a contradictory argument to prevail in another phase." "Judicial estoppel applies just as much when one of the tribunals is an administrative agency as it does when both tribunals are courts."


• Illumina's position in this proceeding is clearly inconsistent with its prior positions; Illumina persuaded the Examiner during the 465 reexamination to accept its position that Tsien did not disclose the allyl capping group, Ex. 2065 at 101 (Examiner concluding that "Tsien et al. do not teach explicitly a [nucleotide] with a 3'-allyl protective group"); and allowing Illumina to maintain its new position in this proceeding would impose an unfair detriment on Columbia.
Risks Relating to Judicial Estoppel

- Discovery Requirements
- Prosecution Considerations
- Litigation Considerations
Overcoming Judicial Estoppel

Establish that positions are not directly contradictory

Distinguish claims

Distinguish record

Patent Owner Estoppel

Cancellation of non-distinct claims may preclude arguments

37 CFR 42.73(d)(3)(i)

(3) Patent applicant or owner. A patent applicant or owner is precluded from taking action inconsistent with the adverse judgment, including obtaining in any patent:

(i) A claim that is not patentably distinct from a finally refused or canceled claim


- The challenged claim was specifically drafted to be narrower than the claims previously found unpatentable. Illumina argues that the challenged claim is the same as cancelled claim 16 of the '869 patent (IPR2018-00291, -00385) or cancelled claim 21 of the '869 patent (IPR2018-00318, -00322). But the challenged claim has many features not present in cancelled claims 16 or 21 of the '869 patent.


- Nevertheless, we agree with Patent Owner that Rule 42.73(d)(3) does not apply in this case, at least because Patent Owner's appeal rights in IPR-350 have not been exhausted. As Patent Owner argues, the Patent Office has explained in its discussion accompanying the Final Rule that Rule 42.73(d)(3) applies estoppel against a party whose claim has been cancelled and not merely held unpatentable:

Tuesday, June 04, 2019
Overall Conclusions

Privity does not equal preclusion

Be careful what you say. Anything you say can, and will, be held against you in a court of law
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ABOUT THE KNOWLEDGE GROUP

The Knowledge Group is an organization that produces live webcasts which examine regulatory changes and their impacts across a variety of industries. "We bring together the world's leading authorities and industry participants through informative webcasts to study the impact of changing regulations."

If you would like to be informed of other upcoming events, please [click here].

DISCLAIMER:

The Knowledge Group is producing this event for information purposes only. We do not intend to provide or offer business advice.

The contents of this event are based upon the opinions of our speakers. The Knowledge Group does not warrant their accuracy and completeness. The statements made by them are based on their independent opinions and does not necessarily reflect that of The Knowledge Group’s views.

In no event shall The Knowledge Group be liable to any person or business entity for any special, direct, indirect, punitive, incidental or consequential damages as a result of any information gathered from this webcast.

Certain images and/or photos on this page are the copyrighted property of 123RF Limited, their Contributors or Licensed Partners and are being used with permission under license. These images and/or photos may not be copied or downloaded without permission from 123RF Limited.